



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,887	07/25/2005	Kalle Suurpaa	915-007.125	6812
4955	7590	11/20/2009	EXAMINER	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP			SAID, MANSOUR M	
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224				2629
MONROE, CT 06468			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/516,887	Applicant(s) SUURPAÄ ET AL.
	Examiner MANSOUR M. SAID	Art Unit 2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7,10-15,18-25 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-7,10-15,18-25 and 28-30 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response the amendment filed on June 30, 2009, and claim rejection 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph has been withdrawn.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on 7/25/08. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 1-7, 10-15, 18-25 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Imai (6,259,045 B 1) in view of Rogers (7,345,592 B2).**

As to claim 1, as best understood, Imai teaches Cover for an electronic device comprising a decoration (case, figure 2, (20)) which is visible to a user when said cover is connected to an electronic device (figures 1-7 and column 3, lines 1-31); contact sensitive component (electrodes, (figures 2-7, (15 and 41)), column 1, lines 45-61) arranged such that generates an electrical signal when a part ((hole, (figures 2-3, (21)) of said decoration case, figure 2, (20)) associated to said contact sensitive component (electrodes, (figures 2-7, (15 and

Art Unit: 2629

41)), is touched (column 1, lines 45-61, column 3, lines 23-30); and a connection component to electrically connecting said contact sensitive component to a processing component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

Imai teaches a cover for an electronic device includes light emitting.

However, Imai does not expressly teach decoration is adjustable by a processing component.

Rogers teaches an electronic cover including a decoration (led) is adjustable by a processing component (CPU) (figures 1-6 and column 6, lines 29-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Rogers's an electronic cover having an LED controlled by CPU into Imai's electronic cover so as to illuminate activated pushbuttons.

As to claims 2 and 20, Imai teaches wherein said contact sensitive component comprise a pressure sensitive film (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 3, lines 23-30, column 4, lines 1-12 and column 6, lines 16-25).

As to claims 3 and 21, Imai teaches wherein said pressure sensitive film is an electromechanical film (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 3, lines 60-67, column 4, lines 62-67 and column 6, lines 20-40).

As to claims 4 and 22, Imai teaches wherein said pressure sensitive film comprises at least one force sensitive resistor (figures 2-7, and column 1, lines 45-61).

As to claims 5 and 23, Imai teaches wherein said contact sensitive component comprise

Art Unit: 2629

at least one capacitive sensor (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 3, lines 23-30, column 3, lines 50-55 and column 4, lines 5-12).

As to claims 6, 14 and 24, Imai teaches wherein different parts (holes, (figures 2-3, (20)) of said decoration associated to said contact sensitive component result in a generation of different signals by said contact sensitive component when touched (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

As to claims 7, 15 and 25, Imai teaches wherein one or more selected parts (holes, (figures 2-3, (20)) of said decoration (case, figure 2, (20)) are associated to one or more functions enabled by a processor to which said contact sensitive component can be connected via said connection component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

As to claims 10 and 18, Imai teaches wherein said adjustable decoration comprises at least one light emitting diode which is controllable by a processing component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-12).

As to claims 11 and 19, wherein said adjustable decoration comprises at least one electro-luminance pattern which is controllable by a processing component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

As to claim 12, as best understood, Imai teaches an electronic device comprising a cover (figures 2-3), which cover comprises, a decoration case, figure 2, (20)) which is visible to a user when said cover is connected to an electronic device(figures 1-7 and column 3, lines 1-31) ; a contact sensitive component (electrodes, (figures 2-7, (15 and 41)), column 1, lines 45-61) arranged such that it generates an electrical signal when a part of said decoration associated to

Art Unit: 2629

said contact sensitive component is touched (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67); and; a connection component configured to electrically connect said contact sensitive component to a processing component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

Imai teaches a cover for an electronic device includes light emitting.

However, Imai does not expressly teach decoration is adjustable by a processing component.

Rogers teaches an electronic cover including a decoration (led) is adjustable by a processing component (CPU) (figures 1-6 and column 6, lines 29-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Rogers's an electronic cover having an LED controlled by CPU into Imai's electronic cover so as to illuminate activated pushbuttons.

As to claim 13, Imai teaches a data connection to said cover and a processing component configured to processing data received by said contact sensitive component of said cover (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

As to claim 19, Imai teaches wherein said adjustable decoration comprises at least one electro-luminance pattern which is controllable by a processing component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

As to claim 28, Imai teaches wherein said adjustable decoration comprises at least one light emitting diode which is controllable by a processing component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-12).

As to claim 29, Imai teaches wherein said adjustable decoration comprises at least one electro-luminance pattern which is controllable by a processing component (figures 2-7, column 1, lines 45-61, column 2, lines 30-67, column 3, lines 1-67 and column 4, lines 1-67).

As to claim 30, as best understood, Imai teaches a cover (figures 2-3) comprising: means for presenting a decoration (case, figure 2, (20)) which is visible to a user when said cover is connected to an electronic device; means for generating an electrical signal when a part of said decoration is touched (figures 1-7 and column 3, lines 1-31); and means for electrically

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/30/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that Imai does not disclose a processor wherein a cover is configured to provide the processor in the cover.

However, Examiner respectfully disagrees, for instance, Imai input device includes a cover having a board corresponding to a sensor/sensitive element on the board and gives an electric to the sensitive element on the board when it is pressed down by the user. In addition, Rogers teaches an electronic device including a CPU (processing component) (figures 4 and 6 and column, lines 1-25 and column 6, lines 28-55) integrated in the cover. So this limitation can read on the claim, since any part of the housing can be a cover for the components (processor or sensor led).

The combination of all references fairly discloses the claimed limitations, and therefore all references should be taken in combination and not individually. The Applicant cannot show

Art Unit: 2629

non-obviousness by attacking references individually where, as here the rejections are based on combination of references. In Keller, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6,208,271.

US 6,222,525.

US 6,518,953.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mansour M. Said whose telephone number is 571-272-7679. The

Art Unit: 2629

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30-6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe whose telephone number is 571-272-7691.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: 571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/MANSOUR M SAID/

Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Richard Hjerpe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629